

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/936,872	09/17/2001	Ekapot Bhunachet	P01619	9445
7590 04/20/2006			EXAMINER	INER
MR. ERKAPOT BHUNACHET			MANTIS MERCADER, ELENI M	
2-32-22 KASUGA, TSUKUBA IBARAKI, 305-0821,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
JAPAN	•		3737	
			DATE MAILED: 04/20/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		E			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/936,872	BHUNACHET, EKAPOT			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Eleni Mantis Mercader	3737			
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATOR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply cation. By period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS by statute, cause the application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n 10 April 2006				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are versions 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>34</u> is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restrictions	vithdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in Appl he priority documents have been rec Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		ail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

The argument that the current invention does not use barrier filters or dichroid mirrors is reflected in the claim 34 which is now allowable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 26 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MacAulay et al.'660 in view of Longacre'758.

MacAulay et al.'660 teach all the elements of the current invention including:

- at least one excitation light emitting system structured and arranged to illuminate the subject matter with excitation light (col. 10, lines 17-18; referring to the excitation light);
- at least one non-excitation light emitting system structured and arranged to illuminate the subject matter with non-excitation light (col. 10, lines 15-16; referring to the excitation light);
- at least one alternating system structured and arranged to alternate use of said at least one excitation light emitting system and said at least one non-excitation light emitting system (col. 10, lines 15-18; referring to the light source structured to sequentially illuminate the area of interest);

Application/Control Number: 09/936,872 Page 3

Art Unit: 3737

• i) wherein said at least one alternating system is structured and arranged to illuminate the subject matter for first periods of time essentially only by said at least one excitation light emitting system, and

- ii) wherein said alternating system is structured and arranged to illuminate the subject matter for second periods of time by said at least one non-excitation light emitting system (col. 10, lines 15-18; referring to the light source structured to sequentially illuminate the area of interest, or otherwise stated alternating illumination with non-excitation and excitation light over a single cycle);
- at least one filtering system structured and arranged to prevent transmission of excitation light and permit transmittion of non-excitation light (col. 10, lines 18-20; referring to the filtering system permitting transmission of non-excitation red light and blocking all else);
- at least one image sensing system structured and arranged to sense images of the subject
 matter from light transmitted by said filtering system (col. 10, lines 20-35; referring to the
 CCD sensor capturing the images. Also since at least two images are detected one from
 excitation and one from non-excitation, inherently there is differentiation between the
 two type of images);
- at least one superimposing system structured and arranged to superimpose such images sensed by said image sensing system (col. 10, lines 34-45),
- i) wherein at least one such image sensed during such period of time is superimposed with at least one such image sensed during such second period of time to create at least one such superimposed image (col. 10, lines 35-38); and

Art Unit: 3737

at least one image viewing system structured and arranged to permit viewing such at least one superimposed image (col. 10, lines 38-40 and see display 18 in Figures 5).
 MacAulay et al. '660 teach the use of three different channels (see col. 8, lines 21-35).
 MacAulay et al. '660 further teach an RGB color monitor (see col. 10, lines 16-45).

While MacAulay et al.'660 do not teach an adjuster filter to adjust for intensity of either excitation or non-excitation light, MacAulay et al.'660 teach the creation of a remittance light image to account for image non-uniformity caused by changes in illumination intensity (see abstract), and thereby constituting an alternative functional equivalent resulting the same end result of adjustment of variation of the intensity of light.

MacAulay et al.'660 do not expressly teach the use of a black and white CCD. In the same field of endeavor, Longacre'758 teaches the use of a black and white CCD in an endoscope (see col. 1, lines 10-28). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time that the invention was made to have used the black and white CCD in the endoscope of MacAulay et al.'660 allowing accessibility in confined regions because of its small size (see in Longacre'758 for motivation to combine col. 1, lines 10-16).

3. Claims 27-28, 30-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MacAulay et al.'660 in view of Longacre'758 and further in view of Alfano et al.'556.

MacAulay et al.'660 do not explicitly teach the use of a rotating disc or a wheel as alternatively well known to skilled artisans, to filter different light wavelengths. In the same field of endeavor, Alfano et al.'556 teach the use of a wheel in order to detect different images from different light emissions (see col. 12, lines 52-67 and col. 13, lines 1-29). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time that the invention was made to have used the

Page 5

Art Unit: 3737

rotating disc or wheel as taught by Alfano et al.'556 in the invention as taught by MacAulay et al. '660 as a functional equivalent of being able to separate or isolate the detected emissions and thereby get the same end result of at least two different images.

While MacAulay et al. '660 do not teach an adjuster filter to adjust for intensity of different light wavelengths, MacAulay et al.'660 teach the creation of a remittance light image to account for image non-uniformity caused by changes in illumination intensity (see abstract), and thereby constituting an alternative functional equivalent resulting the same end result of adjustment of variation of the intensity of light.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claim 34 is allowed in view of the current amendment.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 09/936,872 Page 6

Art Unit: 3737

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Eleni Mantis Mercader whose telephone number is (571) 272-

4740. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri., 8:00 a.m.-6:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on (571) 272-4956. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Eleni Mantis Mercader **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 3737

EMM